

Edward W. Swanson, SBN 159859
ed@smlp.law
Audrey Barron, SBN 286688
audrey@smlp.law
SWANSON & McNAMARA LLP
300 Montgomery Street, Suite 1100
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 477-3800
Facsimile: (415) 477-9010

Attorney for Defendant ADRIAN WEBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR 3:23-MJ-70840 MAG
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PRELIMINARY
)	HEARING DATE AND EXCLUDE TIME AND
v.)	PROPOSED ORDER
)	
ADRIAN WEBB,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	

The United States, by and through its counsel, Assistant United States Attorney Lauren Harding and defendant Adrian Webb, by and through his counsel Audrey Barron, hereby stipulate that the preliminary hearing in this matter currently set for May 6, 2024, should be continued to May 27, 2024, at 10:30 a.m.

The parties are engaged in ongoing discussions regarding the case, and require additional time to complete those discussions, including regarding whether indictment is necessary.

For purposes of computing the date under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure for preliminary hearing, and the date under the Speedy Trial Act by which defendants must be charged by indictment or information, the parties agree that the time period of May 6, 2024, through May 27,

2024, should be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv). The delay results from a continuance granted by the Court on the basis of the Court's finding that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in the filing of an information or indictment within the period specified in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b); and (ii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods be excluded from the period within which an information or indictment must be filed.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: April 29, 2024

/s/

LAUREN HARDING
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: April 29, 2024

/s/

AUDREY BARRON
Counsel for Adrian Webb

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, as well as to waive the timing for preliminary hearing under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.


The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in the filing of an information or indictment within the time period set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b); and (ii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

The hearing for preliminary hearing or an arraignment on an information in this matter is continued to 10:30 a.m. on May 27, 2024. The time period from May 6, 2024, to May 27, 2024, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which an information or indictment must be filed under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b) pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv). Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which an information or indictment must be filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 29, 2024


 HONORABLE ALEX G. TSE
 United States Magistrate Judge